## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO

Unite	d States of America,	)	Case No. CR 3-12-71345 MAG
	Plaintiff, v.	· )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Ton	ly BEFFORD	)	JAN 1 0 2013
	Defendant.	)	RICHARD W. WIE
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on			
	Failure to grant a continuance work See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be like	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
_	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).		
	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
7	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
<u> 10</u>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
IT IS S	D: 10		LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:			
	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney  B. TOLKOFF